

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/769,733	01/29/2004	Bonnie Wang	015114-063110US	6549
26059	7590 10/14/2004		EXAM	INER
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP/015114 TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			WAMSLEY, PATRICK G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2819	
			DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/769,733	WANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Patrick G. Wamsley	2819			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repeply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	October 2004				
	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-41</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdestimate 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-30 and 32</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>31 and 33-41</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2004 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/08/2004</u>. 		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/769,733

Art Unit: 2819

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 25-30 and 32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6, 12, and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,399 to Wang et al, hereafter Wang. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons.

Apparatus claim 25 presents a circuit comprising three shift registers. Patented method claim 6 presents limitations functionally equivalent to these elements.

Apparatus claim 32 presents three data storage circuits and a first control circuit.

Analogous limitations are found in patented method claim 6.

Dependent apparatus claims 26, 27, and 30, presenting first and second counter circuits, correspond to patented apparatus claim 12.

Dependent apparatus claim 29 matches patented apparatus claim 14.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,733

Art Unit: 2819

Dependent apparatus claim 28 matches patented apparatus claim 15.

Claim Objections

Claim 29 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 29, line 3: Change "fourth register" to -- fourth shift register --.

Claim 29, line 5: Change "fifth register" to -- fifth shift register --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 31 and 33-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the references of record neither reveal nor render obvious the recited combination including reception of serial data, transfer of parallel data in response to a first periodic signal, and reception of parallel data after changing boundaries between bytes. U.S. Patent 5,648,776 to Widmer presents a serial-to-parallel converter having adjustment of byte boundaries but does not change boundaries in the recited manner.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 5,414,830 to Marbot discloses an apparatus for serialization and deserialization of data. U.S. Patent 5,101,203 to Gersbach et al provides a digital data deserialization technique.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,733 Page 4

Art Unit: 2819

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick G. Wamsley whose telephone number is (571) 272-1814. The official facsimile number is (703) 872-9306.

Jatrick to Warms

Patrick G. Wamsley

October 8, 2004